Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/915,086	SEELEMANN, ILENE RUTH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ted T. Vo	2192	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeall claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS therewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communicatio IGHTS. This application is subject	oplication. If not includ n will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to 12/10/2004.			
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-25 and 27</u> .		•	
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examine	r.		
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subman INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 3. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) To Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the population of the properties of the priority documents and the deponant of the properties of the priority documents and the deponant of the priority documents and the priority documents are priority documents and the priority documents have a priority documents are priority documents and the priority documents have a priority document and the priority documents have a	e been received. e been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply IENT of this application. iitted. Note the attached EXAMINER es reason(s) why the oath or declar st be submitted. son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO . s Amendment / Comment or in the c .84(c)) should be written on the draw he header according to 37 CFR 1.121 sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL	national stage application of the following in the front (not the (d).	quirements NOTICE OF
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	5. Notice of Informal! 6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 7. Examiner's Amend 8. Examiner's Statem 9. Other	y (PTO-413), ate Iment/Comment	

Application/Control Number: 09/915,086 Page 2

Art Unit: 2192

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on 12/10/2004.

2. After numerous attempts to reach attorney of record, Gregory Doudnikoff, Attorney Reg. No. 32847, an examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Application/Control Number: 09/915,086 Page 3

Art Unit: 2192

3. Examiner amendment is given to correct the cancellation informality to Claim 26. Claim 26 is labeled with "canceled"; however, the remaining text in Claim 26 is informality in accordance to 37 CFR 1.121(c). The Examiner Amendment presents for resolving the informality cancellation of Claim 26 in

order to place the application in the condition for allowance.

4. In the claims of the amendment field on 12/10/2004:

Please cancel the Claim 26 as follows to place the application in the condition for allowance:

26. (Canceled)



Application/Control Number: 09/915,086 Page 4

Art Unit: 2192

5. The amendment filed on 12/10/04 has been fully considered.

The amendment is fully responsive to the issue 35 U.S.C 101.

Claims 17-23, and 25 which are allowed in the Allowable Subject Matter in the prior action remain allowed.

Claim 26 is canceled.

The arguments to the rejection of Claims 1-16, 24, and 27 are persuasive.

Prior art of record, Passerone et al., "Generation of minimal size code for schedule graphs", remains the closest art of record.

Reasons for Allowance

6. Claims 1-25, and 27 are allowed.

Prior art of record, Passerone, discloses generating code including an analysis for performing a depth-first traversal of a logical tree by: visiting an initial node such as a root node; cutting loops when already visited nodes are encountered and a new leaf node is then created, cutting of loops (opening) preserves the property of reachability; when a leaf node is reached, the update or jump section is generated before going back in the traversal and await nodes are put in a <u>nodelist</u> so that new invocations of the function can proceed and when reaching a loop in the schedule that was cut, the child is also added to <u>nodelist</u> for further traversal (closing).

However, as pointed by Applicants that Passerone does not disclose <u>concept of mapping</u> (Remarks, page 13, paragraph, started at "Claim 1..."). As further pointed out by Applicants that Passerone does not teach <u>creating a mapping connection</u>, closing a loop or opening a loop, but rather is directed to minimizing the code size of sequential programs (Remarks, page 14, full paragraph, started with "Claim 1...").

Therefore, the following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The cited prior arts taken alone or in combination fail to teach claimed invention to a computer-implemented method of generating program source code to perform a mapping task in which enterprise system nested array object fields and legacy system nested array object fields are mapped to one another, comprising at least features,

- "(i) for each intermediate node visited when traversing away from said root node, generating program source code to open a loop;
- (ii) for each visited leaf node, generating program source code to create the mapping connection represented by said visited leaf node; and
- (iii) for each intermediate node having no unvisited children that is visited when traversing towards said root node, generating program source code to close said loop*, as recited in independent Claim 1, and in such manners as recited in independent Claims 5, 13, 17, 24, 25, and 27.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted T. Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3706. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571) 272-3694. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2192

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ted T. Vo

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2192

April 01, 2005